

# Thesis Proposal Results Report

Student: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee's Rating of Written Proposal and Oral Presentation:

EXC\_\_\_\_\_ VG\_\_\_\_\_ GOOD\_\_\_\_\_ FAIR\_\_\_\_\_ POOR\_\_\_\_\_

*Note: A rating of Good signifies a proposal and presentation that just meets minimum standards and is the lowest possible passing score.*

Comments to explain the basis for the rating above (*these will be shared with the student*):

---

---

---

---

---

---

One-page Project Summary included: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Members Initial below:

\_\_\_\_\_

Doctoral Standards Committee representative

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

In evaluating the thesis proposal examination, the primary criterion will be the applicant's apparent ability to plan and conduct high-quality, PhD-level research in chemical engineering, as measured by the scholarly and technical breadth and depth displayed in the examination.

**DSC representative:**  
**Return to Susan Hamlin, or email (hamlins) immediately after the exam.**